



# PROPOSED RULE MAKING

## CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER  
STATE OF WASHINGTON  
FILED

DATE: December 18, 2019

TIME: 12:00 PM

WSR 20-01-174

**Agency:** Department of Enterprise Services

☒ **Original Notice**

☐ **Supplemental Notice to WSR** \_\_\_\_\_

☐ **Continuance of WSR** \_\_\_\_\_

☒ **Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR** WSR 18-16-119 ; or

☐ **Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR** \_\_\_\_\_; or

☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or**

☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Title of rule and other identifying information:** (describe subject) Chapter 200-200 WAC Parking and traffic control on the capitol grounds.

### Hearing location(s):

Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:
January 23, 2020	3:30 pm to 6:30 pm	Room 1213, 1500 Jefferson Building, Olympia, WA 98501	Visitor parking is available directly across the street

**Date of intended adoption:** February 4, 2020 (Note: This is **NOT** the **effective** date)

### Submit written comments to:

Name: Jack Zeigler

Address:

Email:

Fax:

Other: Online -

[https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Preview/?sm=TQahkD8nHL\\_2B5dgTkfcHVIOHeqnaicF5FUtwr04I0iL0p8Hj6wDSe56HVPd-ddY1DX](https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Preview/?sm=TQahkD8nHL_2B5dgTkfcHVIOHeqnaicF5FUtwr04I0iL0p8Hj6wDSe56HVPd-ddY1DX)

By (date) Friday, January 24, 2020

### Assistance for persons with disabilities:

Contact Jack Zeigler

Phone: 360-407-9209

Fax:

TTY:

Email: [jack.zeigler@des.wa.gov](mailto:jack.zeigler@des.wa.gov)

Other:

By (date) January 10, 2020

**Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:** This change to the rules are needed to update rules governing parking on the capitol grounds in order to:

- Align the rules with current parking practices, policies and statutory requirements;
- Not present barriers when implementing improved processes and practices;
- Make sure the rules are easily and quickly understood;
- Not replicate/duplicate other parking requirements;

**Reasons supporting proposal:** The current rules are not in alignment with changes to parking practices and parking policy, may not be able to support changes to the current parking environment on the capitol campus, and may present unintentional barriers to meeting legislation direction through the proviso outlined in the capitol budget, sections 1088 and 1101, laws of 2015.

**Statutory authority for adoption:** RCW 43.19.125, 46.08.150, and 43.19.011

**Statute being implemented:** RCW 46.08.150

**Is rule necessary because of a:**

Federal Law?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Federal Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
State Court Decision?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

If yes, CITATION:

**Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:** This work to clarify, clean-up, and ensure the rules align with existing campus parking policy is separate from the rulemaking on enforceable parking restrictions.

**Name of proponent:** (person or organization) Department of Enterprise Services

<input type="checkbox"/> Private
<input type="checkbox"/> Public
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone
Drafting:	Judy Lorenzo	Suite 210, 1110 Capitol Way S., Olympia	360-725-0038
Implementation:	George Carter III	Bldg. 4, 312 Fones Road, Olympia	360-664-9213
Enforcement:	Chief Batiste, WSP	Helen Sommers Building 106 11th Ave. SW Olympia	360-596-4000

**Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135?** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:

Name:  
Address:  
Phone:  
Fax:  
TTY:  
Email:  
Other:

**Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?**

☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:  
Address:  
Phone:  
Fax:  
TTY:  
Email:  
Other:

☒ No: Please explain: The Department of Enterprise Services (DES) is not an agency listed in RCW 34.05.328(5)(a)(i). Further, DES does not voluntarily make section 201 applicable to this rule adoption nor to date, has the Joint Administrative Rules Review Committee made section 201 applicable to this rule adoption

**Regulatory Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Small Business Economic Impact Statement:**

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, **may be exempt** from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.

☒ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:

☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)  
(Internal government operations)

☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)  
(Incorporation by reference)

☒ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)  
(Correct or clarify language)

☒ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)  
(Dictated by statute)

☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)  
(Set or adjust fees)

☒ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)  
((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW \_\_\_\_.

Explanation of exemptions, if necessary:

**COMPLETE THIS SECTION ONLY IF NO EXEMPTION APPLIES**

If the proposed rule is **not exempt**, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's analysis showing how costs were calculated. \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name:  
Address:  
Phone:  
Fax:  
TTY:  
Email:  
Other:

**Date:** December 18, 2019

**Name:** Jack Zeigler

**Title:** Policy and Rules Manager

**Signature:**

